

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/781,255	KAWABE, TAKESHI	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Gary C. Vieaux	2612	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowance

 (1) Gary C. Vieaux.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Anne M. Maher, attorney for applicant.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 3 December 2004
**Time:** 10:45am
**Type of Interview:**
☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-32

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

**Part III.**
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

In regards to claims 1-32, the restriction presented by the first examiner of record is withdrawn, and all claims are hereby rejoined.

The title is ammended by agreement to be "Image Sensing Apparatus, method, memory involving differential compression of display region based on zoom operation or speed".

Figure 7 of the Drawings will be corrected to properly represent the binary code for +13 (1101), which is currently incorrectly represented (1111) .